

U.P. ARCHITECTS ASSOCIATION

Regd. Under the Societies (Uttar Pradesh Amendment 1975) Act 1860 Since 1987.

PATRON

Ar. YOGESH CHANDRA

Ghaziabad (+91-9897177196)

I.P.P

Ar. JAGESH KUMAR

Meerut (+91-9837393793)

VICE PRESIDENT

Ar. ANKUR BANSAL

Meerut (+91-9837081156)

Ar. N.K. SHARMA

Ghaziabad (+91-9810200635)

Ar. VINAYAK GUPTA

Moradabad (+91-9359438900)

Ar. ASHWANI SHIROMANI

Agra (+91-9759658915)

TREASURER

Ar. S.K. GAUTAM

Ghaziabad (+91-9717303330)

JOINT SECRETARY

Ar. VIPIN GOYAL

Mathura (+91-8755422111)

CO-ORDINATOR

Ar. CHIRAG GUPTA

Meerut (+91-8923712131) Regd. Adds.- A2304, Charms Castel, Raj Nagar Extension, Ghaziabad, Uttar Pradesh - 201017

PRESIDENT

AR. ANKIT AGARWAL

1st Floor, S2S, Nirmal Arcade 47, Garh Road, Meerut -250002 Mob. -+91 - 9997847510 president@uparchitects.org

GENERAL SECRETARY

AR. AKSHAT GARG

144, Prakash Enclave
Kanth Road, Moradabad - 244001
Mob.- +91 - 9927208888
generalsecretary@uparchitects.org

Ref No: UPAA/2025 /L-284 November 14, 2025

To

The Principal Secretary Housing and Urban Planning Department Government of Uttar Pradesh Lucknow

Subject: Inclusion of Specific Setback Provisions for Office Buildings in the Draft Model Building Bye-laws, 2025

Respected Sir,

I respectfully submit for your kind consideration that the Model Building Construction and Development Bye-laws and Model Zoning Regulations for Development Authorities of Uttar Pradesh, 2025 presently do not contain a distinct or explicit provision regarding setback requirements for office buildings.

In earlier iterations of the Building Bye-laws issued by the State Government, the category of office/commercial office buildings was separately defined, along with corresponding setback standards. These provisions ensured regulatory clarity for architects, applicants, and competent authorities during the scrutiny and sanction of building plans.

However, in the current draft, while the setback norms for *residential*, *commercial*, and *institutional* land uses have been appropriately detailed, the category of *office buildings* appears to have been omitted or subsumed ambiguously under commercial use. This creates a regulatory gap which may lead to:

- 1. Ambiguity during plan examination,
- 2. Inconsistent interpretation across Development Authorities, and
- 3. **Potential delays or disputes** in the plan approval process.

In light of the above, it is most humbly requested that the Department may kindly review and incorporate a distinct category for office buildings along with clear and specific setback norms i the Model Building Bye-laws, 2025.

Such inclusion will uphold the principles of uniformity, predictability, transparency, and procedural efficiency in the application of the bye-laws across the State.

I shall be grateful for your kind consideration of the above request.

For U.P. ARCHITECTS ASSOCIATION

Thanks & Regards

Ar. Akshat Garg Gen. Secretary

General Secretary

U.P Awww.uparchitects.org info@uparchitects.org